# **VARIABLE-BETA HÜCKEL STUDIES OF THE LINEAR POLYENES**

## A. Y. MEYER

**Department of Organic Chemistry, Hebrew University, Jerusalem** 

*(Received in the* UK 7 May *1969; Acceptedfor publication 28 May* **1968)** 

Abstract—The "variable beta" modification of the Hückel technique is applied to the series of linear **polyems, and tlx results are shown to be in accord with those of more elaborate approaches. Correlations**  regarding interatomic distances, spectral properties, ionization potentials and delocalization energies are presented. Incidentally, it is found that, contrary to current statements, the Hückel method does not pre**dict a rapid equalization of bond lengths in long chain polyenes.** 

## **INTRODUCTION AND METHOD**

THE theoretical work<sup>1-3</sup> devoted to the linear polyenes  $P_n^*$  has been concerned with possible changes in interatomic distances caused by lengthening of the chain, and particularly with the question whether the alternation, known in butadiene,<sup>4</sup> persists in longer polyene chains, converging eventually at "single bond" and "double bond" values, or whether the initial differences level out, giving ultimately uniform bond lengths. The first of these possibilities seems now well established:<sup>3</sup> measured  $\Delta E_1$ values (for P<sub>4</sub>-P<sub>16</sub> and P<sub>20</sub>) tend towards a finite limit, which, by FE<sup>5</sup> and LCAO<sup>6</sup> methods, is only possible if the bonds alternate; secondly, both the simple<sup>3</sup> and  $SCF<sup>7</sup>$  LCAO methods indicate that the configuration with all bonds equal is, at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the less stable of the two.

In most calculations (see Ref 8), bond alternation had to be explicitly introduced a priori, in order to account for the observed properties. If indeed, the characteristic properties of the polyenes are based upon the connectivity of these molecules, then Hückel-type techniques suffice to describe the main phenomena.

The general solution of the Hückel secular matrix has been interpreted<sup>9</sup> as indicating that the bond lengths in the polyenes tend to equality ; yet, calculations which we have carried out up to  $P_{52}$  do not show such an equalization. It is known<sup>3.6</sup> that bond alternation can be allowed for in the Hückel theory by assigning, at the beginning, different resonance integrals to "double"  $(C_1-C_2, C_3-C_4,$  etc.) and "single"  $(C_2-C_3, C_4-C_5,$  etc.) bonds; however, this procedure begs the question and also assumes the equivalence of all "single", as well as all "double" bonds. The "variablebeta" technique<sup>10</sup> should then constitute a way to meet the difficulty within the realm of Hiickel-type methods, as in this technique all resonance integrals are first assumed to be equal, then systematically modified, by successive iterations, dependent upon the calculated bond orders. Thus, the computed properties are determined solely by the ordering of linkages within the molecule ("connectivity'?.

**We denote a linear polyene by**  $P_p$  **so that**  $P_4$  **is butadiene,**  $P_6$ **-hexatriene, etc. Chain carbon atoms are** designated  $C_1, C_2, \ldots$ , the first singlet-singlet transition- $\Delta E_1$ , higher transitions- $\Delta E_2, \Delta E_3$ .

We have therefore carried out "variable-beta" calculations for the linear polyenes, up to  $P_{42}$ , iterations being based upon the relation<sup>10</sup>

$$
\beta_{rs} = \beta^0 \exp{(0.55 p_{rs} - 0.3666)}
$$

where  $\beta_n$  is the resonance integral at iteration N,  $p_m$ —the bond order at iteration (N-1). Upon convergence (tolerance  $10^{-4}$ ), bond lengths  $r_{rs}$  were obtained either from a relation based upon the interatomic distances in butadiene<sup>4</sup>

$$
r_{rs}(A) = 1.536 - 0.204 p_{rs}
$$
 (1)

or from the standard expression

$$
r_{rs}(A) = 1.524 - 0.194 p_{rs}
$$
 (2)

For the calculation of the spectral properties, it was desirable to introduce neighbouring-atom overlap S. Wheland's technique<sup>11</sup> is permissible if one (a) admits<sup>2</sup> the  $\beta(S)$  proportionality, so that  $S = S^{\circ}$  for  $\beta = \beta^{\circ}$  and  $S = kS^{\circ}$  for  $\beta = k\beta^{\circ}$ , and (b) considers all iterations, but the last, as a systematic search for realistic  $\beta$ -values, the last one being an ordinary Hückel computation. Choosing now the *convenient* value  $S^{\circ} = 0.25$ , and denoting orbital coefficients by m (e.g.  $\varepsilon = \alpha + m\beta$  for a bonding orbital), one gets

$$
\Delta E_1(\gamma) = \frac{32m}{16-m^2} \tag{3}
$$

in view of the pairing property. For small m-values,  $\Delta E_1(\gamma) \rightarrow \Delta E_1(\beta)$ , and the two energetic indices behave in the same manner.

#### RESULTS

Hückel bond orders. Hückel bond orders for  $P_4$  (butadiene) are  $p_{12} = 0.894$  and  $p_{23} = 0.447$ ; those for P<sub>52</sub> and P<sub>40</sub> are given in columns A and B of Table 1. Alternation persists even for  $P_{52}$ , and is especially marked at the ends of the chain. The differences of the data for  $P_{52}$  and  $P_{40}$  are very small, so that  $P_{52}$  appears still far from being an "infinite" polyene, and it cannot be said $12$  that the method predicts a rapid equalization of bond lengths. The ends of the chain are more stable to lengthening than the inner linkages; stated otherwise, the lengthening of a polyene chain from  $P_n$  to  $P_{n+2}$  should be considered as the insertion of a vinyl grouping *inside* the  $P_n$ chain, not as an addition at its end.

*"Beta" bond orders.* As already stated, the present approach does not contain any a priori assumption as to the regularity governing the nature of the polyene bonds. The computed bond orders (e.g. for  $P_{40}$ , Table 1, col. C) indicate indeed that the linkages fall alternately into the double bond  $(0.92 > p > 0.78)$  and single bond  $(0.39 < p < 0.48)$  domains, and that bonds of either category show a remarkable constancy of length :  $1.35-1.38$  Å and  $1.46-1.44$  Å, respectively (by Eq. 1). The method agrees thus with the results of much more elaborate approaches, confirming the distinction between bond types and the absence of equalization in mid-chain.

The orders of the four terminal bonds converge at  $P_{24}$  to their final values, corresponding to  $r_{12} = 1.349$ ,  $r_{23} = 1.457$ ,  $r_{34} = 1.365$ ,  $r_{45} = 1.447$  Å by Eq. (1), or  $r_{12} = 1.346, r_{23} = 1.449, r_{34} = 1.362, r_{45} = 1.440 \text{ Å}$ , by Eq. (2). These values compare favourably with the SCF values<sup>7</sup> of  $1.364$  and  $1.463$ , and with the SPO results<sup>13</sup> of

		в $P_{40}(H)$	C $P_{40}(B)$	D $P_{30}(B)$
<b>Bond</b>	A $P_{\star2}(H)$			
$2 - 3$	0.509	0.509	0.386	0.386
$3 - 4$	0.728	0.729	0-838	0-838
$4 - 5$	0.565	0.565	0435	0435
$5 - 6$	0696	0.696	0.813	0.813
$6 - 7$	0.586	0.586	0454	0454
$7 - 8$	0-681	0-682	0-801	0.801
$8-9$	0-598	0.596	0464	0.464
$9 - 10$	0672	0673	0.795	0.795
$10 - 11$	0-604	0603	0-469	0.469
$11 - 12$	0.667	0.668	0.791	0.792
$12 - 13$	0.609	0-607	0.472	0-471
$13 - 14$	0-663	0-665	0.789	0.790
$14 - 15$	0-612	0610	0-474	0.473
$15 - 16$	0-660	0-663	0.787	0.789
$16 - 17$	0-614	0-611	0.476	
$17 - 18$	0-659	0.662	0.786	
18–19	0.616	0612	0476	
$19 - 20$	0-657	0-661	0.786	
$20 - 21$	0.617	0-612	0476	
$21 - 22$	0.656			
$22 - 23$	0-617			
$23 - 24$	0.656			
$24 - 25$	0618			
$25 - 26$	0-656			
$26 - 27$	0-618			

TABLE 1

1.347 and 1.463. Bonds further to the interior do not seem to converge up to  $P_{40}$ , but the increments with the lengthening of the chain are very small, as can be concluded from the comparison of bond orders in  $P_{40}$  (Table 1, col. C) and  $P_{30}$  (Table 1, col, D). At high *n*-values, the order of the middle bond is about 0.476 ( $r = 1.44$  Å, by Eq. 1) for  $n = 4q$ , or 0.787 ( $r = 1.38 \text{ Å}$ ) for  $n = 4q + 2$ . It should be stated that the orders of "double" middle bonds  $(n = 4q + 2)$  diminish with the lengthening of the chain, in line with the statement<sup>14</sup> that trans-cis isomerization is easier for long than for short polyenes.

Longest-wave absorption band. It is known<sup>1, 2</sup> for  $P_{4}-P_{16}$ ,  $P_{20}$  and similar molecules, that the longest-wave absorption of the linear polyenes undergoes a bathochromic shift with lengthening chain, the increment per  $C_2$ -unit gradually diminishing. Calculations based on the general Hückel solution, with two beta values, corroborate this tendency<sup>1</sup> and an appropriate calibration ( $\beta_{\ell}/\beta_{d} = 0.72$ ,  $\beta_{s} = -40$  eV) yields 553 mu as the limit wavelength. The longest chain studied experimentally is  $P_{20}$ , absorbing at 447 m $\mu$ ;<sup>15</sup> thus,  $\bar{P}_{20}$  is still far from the limit.

Our  $\Delta E_1(\gamma)$  values are reported in Table 2; no convergence is observed, but the increments are small for the longer members of the series. In Fig. 1, calculated  $\Delta E_1(\gamma)$  values are plotted against the experimental transition energies; these are **4452 A. Y. MEYER** 



**TABLE 2** 

taken from the literature<sup>2</sup> and may not refer all to the same vibronic sub-level. The data are fitted by the straight line

$$
\Delta E(\text{eV}) = 1.240 + 2.637 \Delta E_1(\gamma) \tag{4}
$$

so that the non-convergence of  $\Delta E_1(\gamma)$  does not handicap calculations, at least up to P<sub>20</sub>, and the theoretical values behave exactly as the experimental ones.

*Other electronic transitions.* Use of our simple method (see<sup>16</sup>) for the calculation of  $\Delta E_2$  and  $\Delta E_3$ <sup>14.17</sup> leads, for P<sub>20</sub>, to the following values:  $\varphi_{10} \rightarrow \varphi_{11}$ , 0-5905



 $(2.795 \text{ eV by Eq. 4}), \varphi_{10}\rightarrow\rho_{12}$ ,  $0.8866\gamma$ <sup>\*</sup> (3.576),  $\varphi_{10}\rightarrow\rho_{13}$ ,  $1.2514\gamma$  (4.539),  $\varphi_{9}\rightarrow\rho_{11}$ , 07861y\* (3.312), **(~9-13,** la227 (4a3), **(~9-13,** 14471y\* (5056) (asterisked transitions are forbidden in *all-trans* structures). The calculated bands,  $\Delta E_1 = 2.8$ ,  $\Delta E_2 = 3.3{\text -}3.6*$  and  $\Delta E_3 = 4.1{\text -}4.5$  eV, compare favourably with the SCF values:<sup>17</sup>  $\Delta E_1 = 2.62, \Delta E_2 = 3.63$  and  $\Delta E_3 = 3.93$  eV.

One may equally examine Dale's empirical correlation.<sup>14</sup> according to which the band  $\Delta E_s$  of P<sub>n</sub> should approximate  $\Delta E_1$  of P(n/s). The values for P<sub>20</sub>,  $\Delta E_2 = 3.65$  eV (i.e.  $\Delta E_1$  of P<sub>10</sub>) and  $\Delta E_3 = 4.50$  ( $\Delta E_1$  for hypothetical P(20/3), obtained from a curve based on Table 2), corroborate the relation; however, they do not imply that transitions starting at the next to HOMO should be forbidden, as has been suggested.<sup>14</sup>

Ionization potentials. Ionization potentials correspond, at least approximately, to the energy of the highest occupied molecular orbital'\* and should therefore correlate with its coefficient.<sup>3</sup> As experimental data for the longer polyenes are lacking, we confined ourselves to comparing our values with those obtained by a SCF method.<sup>17</sup> Indeed, plotting the two series of values against each other, we obtained a perfectly straight line, so that also in this respect the "variable-beta" method is equivalent to more advanced approaches. It may be mentioned that the agreement between the SCF results and those obtained from the recently proposed relation<sup>19</sup>

I.P. (eV) = 6.924 + 2.11 m<sub>HOMO</sub> + 
$$
\frac{2.954}{n}
$$

was less good.

*Delocalization energy.* The delocalization energy index<sup>3</sup> augments regularly with the dimension of the secular matrix and is not of immediate use in a series of closely related structures.<sup>20</sup> We prefer to examine the delocalization energy *per atom*, defined for P. as

$$
D=\frac{n}{2}(\sum_{\substack{i\\ \text{occ}}} m_i-1)
$$

Our D-values, given in Table 2, show but a slight dependence on the length of the chain, being almost constant for the longer polyenes. This is, of course, another manifestation of the bond alternation phenomenon.

### **CONCLUSION**

*The* main point that emerges from the discussion is that one can employ the "naive" one-electron Hamiltonian for the study of linear polyenes, even without imposing bond alternation from the start. The "variable-beta" technique provides indices which correlate well with results of SCF calculations, showing thus that the main features of these molecules can be elucidated on the sole basis of their connectivity.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <sup>1</sup> J. N. Murrell, The Theory of the Electronic Spectra of Organic Molecules. Methuen, London and New **York (1963).**
- <sup>2</sup> H. Suzuki, *Electronic Absorption Spectra and Geometry of Organic Molecules. Academic Press, New York and* **London (1%7).**
- <sup>3</sup> L. Salem, The Molecular Orbital Theory of Conjugated Systems. Benjamin, New York and Amsterdam  $(1966)$ .
- <sup>4</sup> W. Haugen and M. Traettenberg, Selected Topics in Structure Chemistry Edited by P. Anderson, O. Bastiansen and S. Furberg. Universitetsforlaget, Oslo (1967).
- **' H Kuhn,** *J. Chem. Phys 17,1198* **(1949).**
- **6 M. J. S. Dewar,** *J. Chem Sot.* **3544 (1952).**
- **' J. Ooshika,** *1. Phys. Sot. Jqnan I&* **1238, 1248 (1957).**
- <sup>8</sup> J. M. André and G. Leroy, Theoret. Chim. Acta (Berl.) 9, 123 (1967).
- **' J. E Lcmard-Jones, Proc** *Roy. Sot. Ali@ 280* **(1937).**
- <sup>10</sup> G. V. Boyd and N. Singer, *Tetrahedron* 22, 3383 (1966).
- **" G. W. Wheland,** *J. Am Chem Sot. 63,2025* **(1941).**
- **'l H. Labhart,** *1. Chem Phys 27,957* **(1957).**
- <sup>13</sup> M. J. S. Dewar and G. J. Gleicher, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 87, 692 (1965).
- <sup>14</sup> J. Dale, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **8**, 1235 (1954).
- <sup>15</sup> F. Sondheimer, D. A. Ben-Efraim and R. Wolovsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 83, 1675 (1961).
- **I6 J. R Platt,** *J. Chem Phys lg.* **1168 (1950).**
- **I7 0. W. Adams and R L Miller,** *1. Am Gem. Sot. 88,404* **(1966).**
- **Ia R S. Mullikcn,** *Phys. Reu. 74,736 (1948).*
- <sup>19</sup> K. Vasudevan and W. G. Laidlaw, Tetrahedron 25, 591 (1969).
- <sup>20</sup> R. Zahradník, J. Michl and J. Pancíř, *Ibid.* **22**, 1355 (1966).